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ABSTRACT

Aims To describe incidence, presentation, treatment and short term outcomes of severe neonatal hypernatraemia (SNH, sodium ≥ 160 mmol/l).

Methods Prospective, population based surveillance study over 13 months using the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit. Cases were >33 weeks gestation at birth, fed breast or formula milk and <28 days of age at presentation.

Results Of 62 cases of SNH reported (7, 95% CI 5.4 to 9.0 per 1 000 000 live births), 61 mothers had intended to achieve exclusive breast feeding. Infants presented at median day 6 (range 2–17) with median weight loss of 19.5% (range 8.9–30.9). 12 had jaundice and 57 weight loss as a presenting feature. 58 presented with weight loss ≥15%. 25 babies had not stooled in the 24 h prior to admission. Serum sodium fell by median 12.9 mmol/l per 24 h (range 0–30). No baby died, had seizures or coma or was treated with dialysis or a central line. At discharge, babies had regained 11% of initial birth weight after a median admission of 5 (range 2–14) days. 10 were exclusively breast fed on discharge from hospital.

Conclusions Neonatal hypernatraemia at this level, in this population, is strongly associated with weight loss. It occurs almost exclusively after attempts to initiate breast feeding, occurs uncommonly and does not appear to be associated with serious short term morbidities, beyond admission to hospital.

INTRODUCTION

Hypernatraemia occurring in newborns born at or near term has attracted significant clinical and research attention in recent years, largely as a result of cases of catastrophic weight loss associated with breastfeeding difficulty associated hypernatraemia (BDAH), with or without severe consequences.1–8 In particular, a regional paediatric intensive care centre published a series of five seriously ill babies from a 4-year period emanating from a large geographical area.1 Published reports are mostly small case series, without clearly defined population based denominators. While some authors have expressed the view that the problem was of increasing prevalence, little or no hard evidence is published to support this view, with most reports not being based on a geographical population.9–10 Although a standard definition of hypernatraemia (highest serum sodium ≥150 mmol/l) has become common in such reports the inclusion of milder levels of dehydration hypernatraemia may be a problem.2–4 Higher numbers of cases may be ascertained and reported where hypernatraemia is routinely sought by a blood test in babies with unusual weight loss.9–11 In part this phenomenon explains the wide variety of prevalence figures for BDAH.1–3 5–7 9–11–14

Furthermore, evidence suggests that the extent of neonatal weight loss is substantially affected by hospital practices in support of effective breast feeding, which may in turn affect the prevalence of hypernatraemia.15–16 With these weaknesses in the published literature in mind, we chose to concentrate on the severe end of the hypernatraemia spectrum. We set out to obtain an estimate of the incidence of severe neonatal hypernatraemia (SNH) in infants fed breast or formula milk in the UK and Republic of Ireland (ROI).

METHODS

We ascertained cases from 1 May 2009 to 1 June 2010 through the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit (BPSU). The BPSU facilitates active surveillance of rare disease via more than 3000 paediatricians in the UK and the ROI. Paediatricians are sent a monthly card and asked to say if they have seen any new cases of a ‘menu’ of rare conditions in the past month. Positive cases are followed up by the study team. Response rates to the monthly card are in excess of 90%.17

The reporting case definition used was ‘a baby born at 34 or more weeks of gestation and seen in hospital with serum sodium ≥160 mmol/l at less than 29 days of age.’

Cases where the reporting clinician believed the hypernatraemia was a consequence of a urinary
concentrating defect were excluded by the paediatricians or the study team.

Clinicians completed a case record form based on the clinical notes, encompassing feeding prior to presentation, clinical features and short term outcomes. Seizure diagnosis was based on clinical assessment.

Denominator data on live births were taken from the Office of National Statistics midyear estimates and Irish equivalent.

We conducted an internet survey of weighing practices in maternity units in mainland UK hospitals by sending a link to senior midwives and infant feeding coordinators in each maternity unit by email.

Data on the baby friendly accreditation status of the hospitals to which the cases were readmitted were abstracted from the website of the UK Baby Friendly Initiative (BFI). Comparison was made with the proportion of births which occur in fully accredited Baby Friendly Hospitals.

Ethical approval was obtained from the Bradford Research Ethics Committee, reference 08/H1302/129.

ANALYSIS
Data are presented as means and SDs or medians and ranges and IQRs for parametric and non-parametric data, respectively. The correlation between day of presentation and extent of weight loss and serum sodium was assessed using linear regression models using Stata (release 9.2, StataCorp, Texas, USA, 2006). Comparison of non-parametric variables was made using the Mann–Whitney U test. CIs for rates were by calculated using the Exact Binomial method.

RESULTS
In all, 62 confirmed cases of SNH resulted from 95 reports. A total of 18 were reported in error, five cases were duplicates, six paediatricians reported a case but were no longer contactable and four more paediatricians were unable to confirm the case on account of being unable to recall the patient’s identifiers or obtain the notes. The response rate to the BPSU card during the study period was 93.8%. Based on mid-term Office of National Statistics statistics, there were 8 80 000 live births in the UK and ROI in the study period, leading to an incidence of SNH of 7 (95% CI 5.4 to 9.0) per 1 00 000 live births.

Infant characteristics
Overall, 26 women delivered by caesarean section, and nine by assisted vaginal delivery. A total of 52 had no previous live births. The median maternal age at delivery was 32 years (IQR 28–35). The median age of initial hospital discharge was 2 (IQR1–3) days (1 for normal vaginal deliveries).

The babies had normal birth weights (mean 3467 g, SD 537 g) with only one baby having birth weight <2500 g. No baby is known to have died during the admission or thereafter. Three babies were born between 35 and 37 completed weeks of gestation. One premature baby had Down syndrome and was readmitted with 23% weight loss at 6 days of age. One baby was noted to have a cleft palate and associated feeding problems at 18 days of age, after hypernatraemia was diagnosed.

Presentation
Clinician respondents described 61 babies as having been exclusively breast fed prior to admission. Only one case had been fed exclusively formula prior to developing hypernatraemia—this infant had signs of neonatal abstinence syndrome and had been observed for 5 days in hospital prior to discharge. A total of 55 (89%) infants were reportedly only fed breast milk from the breast in the 24 h prior to diagnosis.

Eight babies presented before leaving hospital, of whom two did not meet the case definition on their first serum sodium measurement. In all, 54 babies were readmitted to hospital at a median 6 days of age (range 3–17, IQR 5–8). Six of the 54 readmitted babies developed more severe hypernatraemia, in one case from 181 mmol/l to 187 mmol/l.

Median weight loss at presentation or readmission was 19.5% (range 8.9–30.9, IQR16.2–22.6) (see figure 1). Weight loss at presentation was significantly associated with age at presentation ($r=0.46$, $p<0.0001$).

The median highest serum sodium concentration was 164 mmol/l (range 160–187, IQR 161–168). In a multivariate analysis of weight loss at presentation and age at presentation with serum sodium as the dependent variable, serum sodium at presentation was significantly associated with age at presentation ($r=0.69$, $p<0.005$) and weight loss at presentation ($r=0.63$, $p<0.0001$) (see figure 2). Urinary electrolytes were collected in only 10 cases with urine osmolarities ranging from 357 to

Figure 1  Weight loss against age at presentation in 62 cases of severe neonatal hypernatraemia in the UK and Republic of Ireland, May 2009 to June 2010.

Figure 2  Association of weight loss at presentation or readmission with serum sodium at readmission or presentation in 62 cases of severe neonatal hypernatraemia in the UK and Republic of Ireland, May 2009 to June 2010. In eight cases hypernatraemia worsened in hospital. Two cases had initial sodium values at presentation <160 mmol/l, but later had values meeting the case definition.
A total of 14 babies received bolus infusions of intravenous fluids on admission, and 31 had ongoing intravenous fluid infusions. Babies known to have been treated with intravenous fluids had a higher maximum serum sodium than the remainder (median 166 vs 162, p=0.04). No seizures were noted at presentation or during treatment. Overall, 19 infants presented on the day concerns were noted, with 10, 8, 3, 3 and 2 babies presenting 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 days respectively after concerns were first noted.

**Treatment**

A total of 14 babies received bolus infusions of intravenous fluids on admission, and 31 had ongoing intravenous fluid infusions. Babies known to have been treated with intravenous fluids had a higher maximum serum sodium than the remainder (median 166 vs 162, p<0.01). None were dialysed, treated using a central venous line or were transferred to another hospital for care. One baby was ventilated.

The serum sodium fell by a mean 12.7 mmol/l per 24 h (SD 6.5). No baby had coma, seizures or other features suggestive of seizures while in hospital. Only three had developed new neurological concerns after admission, and these appeared minor (’fitting’ and ’irritability’).

The median length of stay was 5 days (range 2–14). Ten babies were discharged home breast feeding. All discharged babies had gained weight (median 440 g). No deaths occurred.

The survey of weighing practices obtained a response from 75% of maternity units. Comparison of weighing practices in those units who did, and did not, have a case of SNH did not suggest an intention to perform earlier routine weighing in those units in which cases of SNH did not occur (see table 2).

Six of 49 cases born in England were cared for in fully accredited BFI hospitals. In England 17.5% of babies are born in BFI hospitals.

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown that SNH occurs infrequently, and in a 1 year period was associated with no inhospital deaths, and remarkably little short term morbidity, beyond hospital admission and the cessation of breast feeding.

That 61 of 62 of the mothers of these cases had intended exclusive breast feeding is striking. There was an excess of primiparous mothers, section deliveries and higher maternal age—all known associations with feeding difficulty. As only one baby appears to have developed hypernatraemia after attempts at formula feeding, it is clear that BDAH is the underlying diagnosis in most of the cases we report.

BDAH as a ‘diagnosis’ depends on the presence of hypernatraemia, a history of breastfeeding problems and weight loss. It is thus clearly distinguished from salt poisoning where weight loss is not a feature. The weight loss, and inhospital gain after treatment, in our cases is striking and illustrates missed opportunities for prevention or early intervention.

Other clinical signs and concerns at presentation were noted much less frequently by reporting clinicians. Jaundice was not predominant, and neither stool frequency nor urine output seems to have driven hospitalisation, though the fact that just 25 of the 62 babies had stooled in the previous 24 h provided an obvious clinical clue that all was not well.

We chose to survey all neonatal hypernatraemia, rather than asking paediatricians to report cases which they attributed to difficulties with feeding. Therefore, we have not missed an opportunity to describe formula feeding associated severe hypernatraemia cases, and this is a significant strength of this study. Such cases appear to be rare. Our study is strengthened by both the BPSU methodology with its high response rate, and the population based methodology, and as such our report of incidence may be more meaningful than a reading of other reports, the interpretation of which may be affected by publication bias.

We used an unambiguous case definition and were able to gather data about most cases. However, our reported incidence might be regarded as a minimal incidence if the 10 non-responding clinicians had real cases they did not, or were not able to, report. Furthermore, although the response rate to the BPSU is high, it is not 100% and cases missed this way might underestimate the incidence marginally.

We were not able, for reasons of practicality within the BPSU methodology, to collect data on babies presenting with milder hypernatraemia, and are not able to speak with confidence about these cases. It is likely that there are significantly higher numbers of them, but unlikely that their clinical course is more malignant. It is also likely that their numbers are influenced by the approach to diagnostic testing adopted by clinicians.

We were not able to collect data as to the long term outcome of collected cases, though as so few had neurological problems, this might not have been of great concern.

The best study with which to compare our work is that performed by the Dutch Paediatric Surveillance Unit. They sought hospitalised cases of ‘dehydration’ as evidenced by weight loss exceeding 10% of birth weight, and only found six cases (~1.5/1 000 000 live births) where the serum sodium exceeded 150 mmol/l—a threshold far lower than ours. Many babies with weight loss had no blood tests. This comparison suggests that while the consequences of severe hypernatraemia do not seem severe in the UK, there is more pathology relating to the establishment of feeding here than in some other countries.

It is tempting to speculate that the relatively low rates of initi-ation and particularly continuation of breast feeding in the UK

| Table 1 Presenting concerns in 62 cases of severe neonatal hypernatraemia in the UK and Republic of Ireland, May 2009 to June 2010 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Concerns noted at presentation | Number of infants affected |
| Weight loss | 57 |
| Jaundice | 12 |
| Neurological | 6 |
| Lack of stooling | 11 |
| Decreased urine output | 11 |

| Table 2 Weighing practices in maternity units England, Wales and Scotland in 2010 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| First routine weight | Units overall (%) n=126 | Units with cases (%) n=33 | Units with no cases (%) n=93 |
| D1–5 | 99 (78.6) | 25 (75.8) | 74 (79.6) |
| >D6 | 20 (15.9) | 5 (15.2) | 15 (16.1) |
| No routine weights | 6 (4.8) | 3 (9.1) | 3 (3.2) |
| No response to this question | 1 (0.8) | 0 | 1 (1.1) |
may form part of the explanation for this; where breast feeding is commonplace, it is likely that lay and professional skills in its assessment, and remediation of any difficulties, may be in better supply. There was certainly no excess of cases in accredited BFI hospitals. This is consistent with a hypothesis that BFI accreditation may protect against the development of such severe feeding difficulties. Such a hypothesis deserves further research, but supportive evidence already exists.\(^1\)

It is reassuring that there was so little serious overt associated pathology. Review of reported cases suggests that seizures, possibly during rehydration, might be commonplace.\(^1\) We had intended to investigate the maximum safe rate of fall of serum sodium, but this was happily impossible due to the infrequent and minor evidence of neurological dysfunction.

Uncertainty surrounds the maximum desirable rate of fall of serum sodium after slow onset hypernatraemia.\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^9\) Although many of our cases exceed recommended rates of fall (typically quoted at 12 mmol/l per 24 h), no complications of rehydration were observed in this study. As no known complications of treatment occurred among the 40 (lowest 75% of rates of fall) cases with a maximal rate of fall of serum sodium of less than 17 mmol/l/24 h, it is possible to estimate with 95% confidence that the rate of seizures among a wider group of babies similarly rehydrated and treated does not exceed 8%,\(^20\)\(^21\) This may be useful to clinicians managing future cases.

That so little associated serious pathology was seen may of course reflect considerable care and good judgement in management of the rehydration and refeeding. The increasing tendency of paediatricians to use intravenous fluids at higher sodium concentrations would support this speculation.

It is also possible that a proactive approach to weighing and responding to abnormal weights forms part of the modern NHS’ preventative response to BDAH—our weighing survey is some, albeit weak, evidence that this may be occurring. Routine weighing is recommended practice by breast feeding and paediatric organisations alike.\(^21\)\(^22\) Our study suggests that policies may not always be adhered to or appropriate actions made in response to abnormal weight loss. This is particularly striking where hypernatraemia worsened in hospital. However, while the cases reported may not represent optimal practice, it is possible that current weighing practice, which in turn may be a consequence of the widespread medical discussion of this issue, led to fewer cases than would otherwise have presented.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^4\)\(^5\)

Prevention of this condition, or at least its early detection, should be the priority for any humane healthcare system, both to minimise avoidable harms and distress of hospitalisation, but also to alleviate maternal and infant distress when breast feeding is not establishing well and address remediable concerns, for example, with positioning and attachment. Weighing babies and skilled history taking using recognised tools, including focus on stool output, should be universally practised in the early days, with skilled review of cases until feeding difficulties have been ameliorated, weight gain established and the baby’s well-being assured.\(^21\)\(^\text{–}\)\(^23\) Such preventative ambition should not be limited to addressing SNH—the vast numbers of women who cease breast feeding their babies shortly after delivery in the UK will also benefit from the same skillsets, whether their babies have moderate weight loss or not. Readmission of infants to hospital is intrinsically disadvantageous; however, the serious harms reported in association with SNH appear to be uncommon in the UK.
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